

Dear Mr Planchant,

Thank you for your letter dated 5<sup>th</sup> May.

As you know, we have not received notice of whether our appeal to the Court of Appeal has prevailed.

We believe your aggressive approach is a means of interfering with our ability to conduct our appeal. A tactic by the State against impecunious individuals (seeking to protect their (and others) children) by taking their available funds so as to hamper/reduce their legal and campaigning abilities. These are not the acts of a fair administration.

This raises serious matters in relation to our 'access to the Courts' (in any meaningful sense) and we request again that you have the courtesy to delay the seeking of any costs until the entire legal process is exhausted.

First, the PCPW is not a Claimant in the case. The identities of the 5 Claimants are clear. We believe that costs should be sought against the Claimants; PCPW is simply used to raise funds to facilitate the Claimant's Claim. Your use of terms inter-changeably is causing confusion to us and we believe to you: which may be the reason for the discrepancies in the amounts that you have quoted.

Secondly, the quoted figure of having only received £10,000 is inaccurate. We have paid a total of £23,000 in part payment of the costs. A sum of £10,000 was paid on 27<sup>th</sup> January: with a further £13,000 on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2023 making the total of £23,000. Please confirm this is the case.

Thirdly, in our submissions to the court on 12 January 2023 we stated that PCPW held assets of £18,259, which included the money in the fundraiser. In my email of 26 January I stated that at the time of that email that figure was £10,000 which was the remaining funds from said fundraising platform.

The website of PCPW indicates that the sum £79,278.00 has been raised to pursue the litigation. We have to date paid legal fees in excess of £82,000.00 (Not including court application costs), which exceeds that raised from the public. [any other campaigning costs: the cost of fundraisers, videos, demonstrations, etc]

Yours sincerely

Kim Isherwood